Diffusion model theory: low-dimensional adaptation, and acceleration



Yuxin Chen

Wharton Statistics & Data Science

Generative modeling

training data



• Given training data $X^{\text{train},i} \sim p_{\text{data}}$ $(1 \le i \le N)$ in \mathbb{R}^d from a general distribution

Generative modeling



- Given training data $X^{\text{train},i} \sim p_{\text{data}}$ $(1 \le i \le N)$ in \mathbb{R}^d from a general distribution
- Generate new samples $Y \sim p_{\text{data}}$

Inspired by nonequilibrium thermodynamics

- Sohl-Dickstein, Weiss, Maheswaranathan, Ganguli '15





• forward process: diffuse data into noise



- forward process: diffuse data into noise
- reverse process: convert pure noise into data-like distributions



- forward process: diffuse data into noise
- reverse process: convert pure noise into data-like distributions



- forward process: diffuse data into noise
- reverse process: convert pure noise into data-like distributions

Goal:
$$Y_t \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{\approx} X_t$$
, $t = T, \cdots, 1$



learn $s_t(\cdot) \approx \nabla \log p_{X_t}(\cdot)$

1. score learning/matching: learn estimates $s_t(\cdot)$ for $\nabla \log p_{X_t}(\cdot)$



- 1. score learning/matching: learn estimates $s_t(\cdot)$ for $\nabla \log p_{X_t}(\cdot)$
- 2. data generation: sampling w/ the aid of score estimates $\{s_t(\cdot)\}$

Towards mathematical theory for diffusion models

• hard to develop full-fledged end-to-end theory

Towards mathematical theory for diffusion models

- hard to develop full-fledged **end-to-end** theory
- "divide-and-conquer": score learning ← X → sampling

Agenda:

- 1. non-asymptotic convergence theory
- 2. adaptation to (unknown) low dimensionality
- 3. acceleration via higher-order approximation

Part 1: nonasymptotic convergence theory



Gen Li CUHK



Yuting Wei UPenn



Yuejie Chi Yale

Two mainstream approaches

Denoising D	iffusion Probabi	listic Models	
Jonathan Ho UC Berkeley jonathanho@berkeley.edu	Ajay Jain UC Berkeley ajayj@berkeley.edu	Pieter Abbeel UC Berkeley pabbeel@cs.berkeley.edu	
	Denoisin	ng Diffusion Im	IPLICIT MODELS
	Jiaming Song, Stanford Univer	Chenlin Meng & Stefano Err	non



forward process: $X_0 \sim p_{\text{data}}$ (target distribution) $X_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} X_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \quad t \ge 1$

• $\beta_t \coloneqq 1 - \alpha_t$ controls variance of injected noise



— Ho, Jain, Abbeel '20

1. A <u>stochastic</u> sampler: <u>denoising diffusion probabilistic models</u>

DDPM



— Ho, Jain, Abbeel '20

1. A <u>stochastic</u> sampler: <u>denoising diffusion probabilistic models</u>

$$\begin{split} Y_T &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \\ Y_{t-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \Big(\underbrace{Y_t + \eta_t^{\mathsf{ddpm}} s_t(Y_t)}_{\mathsf{deterministic}} + \underbrace{\sigma_t^{\mathsf{ddpm}} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)}_{\mathsf{stochastic}} \Big), \quad t = T, \cdots, 1 \end{split}$$



— Song, Meng, Ermon '20 — Song, Sohl-Dickstein, Kingma, Kumar, Ermon, Poole '20

2. A deterministic sampler: denoising diffusion implicit models

DDIM; or probability flow ODE



- Song, Meng, Ermon '20 — Song, Sohl-Dickstein, Kingma, Kumar, Ermon, Poole '20
- 2. A <u>deterministic</u> sampler: <u>denoising diffusion implicit models</u>

$$\begin{split} Y_T &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \\ Y_{t-1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \Big(\underbrace{Y_t + \eta_t^{\mathsf{ddim}} s_t(Y_t)}_{\mathsf{deterministic}} \Big), \quad t = T, \cdots, 1 \end{split}$$







• \exists reverse-time SDE w/ same path distribution (Anderson '82)

$$dY_t = \left(Y_t + 2s_{T-t}^{\star}(Y_t)\right)\beta(T-t)dt + \sqrt{2\beta(T-t)}\,dW_t$$



• ∃ reverse-time SDE w/ same path distribution (Anderson '82)

 $\stackrel{\text{time discretization}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathsf{DDPM}$



● ∃ reverse-time ODE w/ same *marginal* dist (Song et al. '20)

$$dY_t = (Y_t + s_{T-t}^{\star}(Y_t)) \beta(T-t)dt$$



• ∃ reverse-time ODE w/ same *marginal* dist (Song et al. '20)

 $\stackrel{\text{time discretization}}{\longrightarrow} \quad \mathsf{DDIM}$

Key takeaway: in continuous-time limits, sampling is feasible once exact score functions are available

- almost <u>no restriction</u> on target data distributions

Key takeaway: in continuous-time limits, sampling is feasible once exact score functions are available

- almost <u>no restriction</u> on target data distributions

Questions:

- what happens in discrete time? effect of discretization error
- what if we only have imperfect scores? effect of score error

A small sample of convergence theory

- Lee, Lu, Tan '22
- Chen, Chewi, Li, Li, Salim, Zhang'22
- Chen, Lee, Lu'22
- Lee, Lu, Tan '23
- Chen, Daras, Dimakis'23
- Chen, Chewi, Lee, Li, Lu, Salim '23
- Benton, De Bortoli, Doucet, Deligiannidis '23
- Li, Wei, Chen, Chi'23
- Benton, Deligiannidis, Doucet '23
- Cheng, Lu, Tan, Xie '23
- Tang '23
- Li, Wei, Chi, Chen'24
- Li, Yan '24a, '24b
- Azangulov, Deligiannidis, Rousseau '24

- Potaptchik, Azangulov, Deligiannidis '24
- Huang, Wei, Chen '24
- Gao, Zhu '24
- Huang, Huang, Lin '24
- Li, Jiao '24
- Li, Di, Gu'24
- Liang, Ju, Liang, Shroff '24
- Tang, Zhao '24
- Liang, Huang, Chen '25
- Li, Cai, Wei '25
- Tang, Yan '25
- Yu, Yu'25
- Gentiloni-Silveri, Ocello'25
- ...

 $\mathbb{P}(||X_0||_2 \leq T^{c_R}) = 1$ for arbitrarily large const $c_R > 0$

 $\mathbb{P}(\|X_0\|_2 \leq T^{c_R}) = 1$ for arbitrarily large const $c_R > 0$

• support size can be very large

 $\mathbb{P}(\|X_0\|_2 \leq T^{c_R}) = 1$ for arbitrarily large const $c_R > 0$

- support size can be very large
- very general: no need of log-concavity, smoothness, etc

 $\mathbb{P}(\|X_0\|_2 \leq T^{c_R}) = 1$ for arbitrarily large const $c_R > 0$

- support size can be very large
- very general: no need of log-concavity, smoothness, etc
- can also be replaced by $\mathbb{E}[||X_0||_2] \leq T^{c_M}$ for large const c_M

Assumptions: score estimates $\{s_t(\cdot)\}$

• ℓ_2 score estimation error: $s_t^{\star}(X) \coloneqq \nabla \log p_{X_t}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{X_t}} \left[\|s_t(X) - s_t^{\star}(X)\|_2^2 \right] \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2$$

Assumptions: score estimates $\{s_t(\cdot)\}$

• ℓ_2 score estimation error: $s_t^{\star}(X) \coloneqq \nabla \log p_{X_t}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{X_t}} \left[\|s_t(X) - s_t^{\star}(X)\|_2^2 \right] \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2$$

• much weaker than *pointwise* score error assumption

Assumptions: score estimates $\{s_t(\cdot)\}$

• ℓ_2 score estimation error: $s_t^{\star}(X) \coloneqq \nabla \log p_{X_t}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{X_t}} \left[\|s_t(X) - s_t^{\star}(X)\|_2^2 \right] \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2$$

- much weaker than *pointwise* score error assumption
- suffices for DDPM but not DDIM (counterexample in Li et al. '24)
Assumptions: score estimates $\{s_t(\cdot)\}$

• ℓ_2 score estimation error: $s_t^{\star}(X) \coloneqq \nabla \log p_{X_t}(X)$,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbb{E}_{X \sim p_{X_t}} \left[\|s_t(X) - s_t^{\star}(X)\|_2^2 \right] \le \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2$$

- $\circ\;$ much weaker than *pointwise* score error assumption
- suffices for DDPM but not DDIM (counterexample in Li et al. '24)
- Jacobian estimation error (for DDIM only):

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{X \sim p_{X_t}} \left[\left\| \frac{\partial s_t}{\partial x}(X) - \frac{\partial s_t^\star}{\partial x}(X) \right\| \right] \leq \varepsilon_{\mathsf{Jacobi}}$$

$$X_0 \sim p_{\mathsf{data}}, \quad X_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t} X_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d)$$

• learning rates: for some consts $c_0, c_1 > 0$,

$$1 - \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{T^{c_0}}$$

$$1 - \alpha_t = \underbrace{\frac{c_1 \log T}{T} \min\left\{(1 - \alpha_1)\left(1 + \frac{c_1 \log T}{T}\right)^t, 1\right\}}_{2 \text{ phases any growth as flat}}$$

2 phases: exp growth \rightarrow flat

DDPM:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \parallel p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23}) \\ \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \end{array}$

DDPM:
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \parallel p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23}) \\ \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \end{array}$$

- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε^2 (assuming accurate scores) to yield KL $\leq \varepsilon^2$
- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε (assuming accurate scores)

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \| \, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23}) \\ \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \end{array}$$

- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε^2 (assuming accurate scores) to yield KL $\leq \varepsilon^2$
- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε (assuming accurate scores)
 - $\circ~$ Pinsker inequality $(\mathsf{TV} \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\mathsf{KL})$ is loose when bounding TV

$$egin{aligned} & \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \,\|\, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + arepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23)} \ & \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + arepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \end{aligned}$$

- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε^2 (assuming accurate scores) to yield KL $\leq \varepsilon^2$
- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε (assuming accurate scores) to yield $TV \le \varepsilon$
- stability: degrades gracefully as $\varepsilon_{\rm score}\uparrow$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \| p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23}) \\ \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \end{array}$$

- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε^2 (assuming accurate scores) to yield KL $\leq \varepsilon^2$
- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε (assuming accurate scores) to yield $\mathsf{TV} \leq \varepsilon$
- stability: degrades gracefully as $\varepsilon_{\text{score}} \uparrow$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Jacobi}} \uparrow$
- general data distribution: no need of smoothness, log-concavity

DDPM:
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{KL}(p_{X_1} \parallel p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}}^2 & (\mathsf{Benton \ et \ al. '23}) \\ \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} & (\mathsf{Li, \ Yan \ '24}) \\ \end{array}$$
DDIM:
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \lesssim d/T + \sqrt{d} \varepsilon_{\mathsf{score}} + d\varepsilon_{\mathsf{Jacobi}} & (\mathsf{Li \ et \ al. \ '24}) \\ \end{array}$$

- $\underbrace{ \text{iteration complexity:}}_{\text{to yield KL} \leq \varepsilon^2} d/\varepsilon^2 \text{ (assuming accurate scores)}$
- <u>iteration complexity</u>: d/ε (assuming accurate scores) to yield $\mathsf{TV} \leq \varepsilon$
- stability: degrades gracefully as $\varepsilon_{\text{score}} \uparrow$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Jacobi}} \uparrow$
- general data distribution: no need of smoothness, log-concavity

Chen, Chewi, Li, Li, Salim, Zhang '22, Chen, Lee, Lu '22, Tang, Zhao '24
 Benton, De Bortoli, Doucet, Deligiannidis '23, Huang, Wei, Chen '24



Chen, Chewi, Li, Li, Salim, Zhang '22, Chen, Lee, Lu '22, Tang, Zhao '24
 Benton, De Bortoli, Doucet, Deligiannidis '23, Huang, Wei, Chen '24



Analogy: (stochastic) gradient descent vs. gradient flow, TD learning via ODE

yields state-of-the-art KL-based theory for DDPM!

Chen, Chewi, Li, Li, Salim, Zhang '22, Chen, Lee, Lu '22, Tang, Zhao '24
 Benton, De Bortoli, Doucet, Deligiannidis '23, Huang, Wei, Chen '24



2 key steps:

• apply change of measure (e.g. Girsanov thm) to show

$$\mathsf{KL}(P^{\mathsf{true}} \| P^{\mathsf{ddpm}}) \leq \int w(t) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \mathsf{drift}^{\mathsf{true}}(t) - \mathsf{drift}^{\mathsf{ddpm}}(t) \right\| \right]^2 dt + \mathsf{small-term}$$

Chen, Chewi, Li, Li, Salim, Zhang '22, Chen, Lee, Lu '22, Tang, Zhao '24
 Benton, De Bortoli, Doucet, Deligiannidis '23, Huang, Wei, Chen '24



2 key steps:

• leverage stochastic localization to chacracterize

discretization error $\stackrel{\text{link}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Cov}(X_0 | X_t)]$

- Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24

— Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24
 Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

Tackle discrete-time process directly & track changes of TV distance

yields state-of-the-art TV-based theory for DDIM & DDPM!

- Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24

— Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

Tackle discrete-time process directly & track changes of TV distance

 $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_t}, p_{Y_t}) \approx 0$

Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24
 Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

$$\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_t}, p_{Y_t}) \approx 0 \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \frac{p_{Y_t}(y_t)}{p_{X_t}(y_t)} \approx 1 \quad \forall y_t \in \mathcal{E}_t \text{ (some "typical" set)}$$

Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24
 Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

$$\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_t}, p_{Y_t}) \approx 0 \quad \Leftarrow \quad \frac{p_{Y_t}(y_t)}{p_{X_t}(y_t)} \approx 1 \quad \forall y_t \in \mathcal{E}_t \text{ (some "typical" set})$$
$$\underbrace{t-1 \qquad t \qquad T}$$

Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24
 Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25

$$\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_t}, p_{Y_t}) \approx 0 \quad \Leftarrow \quad \frac{p_{Y_t}(y_t)}{p_{X_t}(y_t)} \approx 1 \quad \forall y_t \in \mathcal{E}_t \text{ (some "typical" set)}$$

$$t - 1 \qquad t \quad \bullet \quad T$$

$$\frac{p_{Y_{t-1}}(y_{t-1})}{p_{X_{t-1}}(y_{t-1})} = \underbrace{p_{Y_{t-1}}(y_{t-1})}_{\text{relation btw } Y_t \& Y_{t-1}} \left(\underbrace{p_{X_{t-1}}(y_{t-1})}_{p_{X_t}(y_t)}\right)^{-1} \frac{p_{Y_t}(y_t)}{p_{X_t}(y_t)}$$

Li, Wei, Chen, Chi '24, Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24
 Li, Yan '24, Liang, Huang, Chen '25



Part 2: adaptation to (unknown) low dimensionality



Jiadong Liang UPenn



Zhihan Huang UPenn



Yuting Wei UPenn

Recap: theory for mainstream diffusion models



DENOISING DIFFUSION IMPLICIT MODELS

Jiaming Song, Chenlin Meng & Stefano Ermon Stanford University {tsong,chenlin,ermon}@cs.stanford.edu

Theorem 1 (Li, Wei, Chi, Chen '24, Li, Yan '24)

With perfect scores, both DDIM & DDPM yield $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in $\widetilde{O}(d/\varepsilon)$ iterations

• *d*: *ambient dimension*



ImageNet: d = 150, 528 pixels per image



ImageNet: d = 150,528 pixels per image (so $\frac{d}{\epsilon} > 10^6$ for moderate ϵ)



ImageNet: d = 150,528 pixels per image (so $\frac{d}{\epsilon} > 10^6$ for moderate ϵ)

In practice, DDIM/DDPM yield good samples in <u>hundreds (or tens)</u> of iterations . . .



ImageNet: d = 150,528 pixels per image (so $\frac{d}{\varepsilon} > 10^6$ for moderate ε) k = 43 intrinsic dimension (Pope et al. '21)

In practice, DDIM/DDPM yield good samples in <u>hundreds (or tens)</u> of iterations . . .

Can diffusion models adapt to intrinsic low dimensionality?

The target distribution p_{data} is said to have intrinsic dimension k if

$$\log \underbrace{N^{\mathsf{cover}}(\mathsf{support}(p_{\mathsf{data}}), \|\cdot\|_2, \varepsilon_0)}_{k \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} \lesssim k \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}$$

covering number of support of $p_{\rm data}$

The target distribution p_{data} is said to have intrinsic dimension k if

$$\log \underbrace{N^{\mathsf{cover}}(\mathsf{support}(p_{\mathsf{data}}), \|\cdot\|_2, \varepsilon_0)}_{k \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}} \lesssim k \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}$$

covering number of support of p_{data}

- k-dimensional linear subspace
- low-dimensional manifold
- doubling dimension, Minkowski dimension
- . . .

- see Huang, Wei, Chen '24

-

• minimal data assumptions:

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_0\|_2 \le \underbrace{T^{c_R}}_{\text{polynomially large diameter}}) = 1$$

for arbitrarily large constant $c_R > 0$

• perfect score estimates: $s_t(\cdot) = \nabla \log p_{X_t}(\cdot)$ \longrightarrow not needed; only to simplify presentation

Convergence theory in total variation

Theorem 2 (Liang, Huang, Chen '24)

Both DDPM & DDIM (their original form) yield $TV(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 $\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon)$ iterations

- concurrent work Li, Yan '25, Tang, Yan '25

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{DDIM:} & Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \eta_t^{\mathsf{ddim}} s_t(Y_t) \right) \\ \\ \mathsf{DDPM:} & Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \eta_t^{\mathsf{ddpm}} s_t(Y_t) + \sigma_t^{\mathsf{ddpm}} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \right) \end{array}$$

Convergence theory in total variation

Theorem 2 (Liang, Huang, Chen '24)

Both DDPM & DDIM (their original form) yield $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 $\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon)$ iterations

- concurrent work Li, Yan '25, Tang, Yan '25

DDIM:
$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}}} s_t(Y_t) \right)$$

DDPM:
$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + (1 - \alpha_t) s_t(Y_t) + \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_t)(\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t)}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \right)$$

where $\overline{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha_i$

Convergence theory in total variation

Theorem 2 (Liang, Huang, Chen '24)

Both DDPM & DDIM (their original form) yield $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 ${\tilde O}(k/{arepsilon})$ iterations

- concurrent work Li, Yan '25, Tang, Yan '25

DDIM:
$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha_t}}{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}}} s_t(Y_t) \right)$$

DDPM:
$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + (1 - \alpha_t) s_t(Y_t) + \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \alpha_t)(\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha_t})}{1 - \overline{\alpha_t}}} \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \right)$$

where $\overline{\alpha}_t = \prod_{i=1}^t \alpha_i$

• originally derived to optimize variational lower bounds!

Theorem 3 (Huang, Wei, Chen '24)

DDPM sampler (its original form) yields $KL(p_{X_1} \parallel p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 $\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon)$ iterations

prior work Li and Yan '24, Azangulov et al. '24
 concurrent work Potaptchik et al.'24

Theorem 3 (Huang, Wei, Chen '24)

DDPM sampler (its original form) yields $KL(p_{X_1} \parallel p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 $\widetilde{O}(k/\varepsilon)$ iterations

prior work Li and Yan '24, Azangulov et al. '24
 concurrent work Potaptchik et al.'24

 \bullet optimal scaling in k

Interpretation from lens of SDE/ODE

reverse-time SDE (same distribution as X_t):

$$\mathrm{d}Y_t = \left(Y_t + 2s_{T-t}^{\star}(Y_t)\right)\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2}\,\mathrm{d}B_t$$

Interpretation from lens of SDE/ODE

reverse-time SDE (same distribution as X_t):

$$dY_t = (Y_t + 2s_{T-t}^*(Y_t))dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t$$

Tweedie's formula
$$\bigcup_{dY_t = (\underbrace{c_{1,t}Y_t}_{\text{linear drift}} + c_{2,t}\underbrace{\mathbb{E}[X_0 \mid X_{T-t} = Y_t]}_{\text{cond. mean of } X_0})dt + \sqrt{2} dB_t$$

• key enabler: $\mathbb{E}[X_0 \mid X_t]$ is "projection" onto low-dimensional structure
Interpretation from lens of SDE/ODE

reverse-time SDE (same distribution as X_t):



- key enabler: $\mathbb{E}[X_0 \mid X_t]$ is "projection" onto low-dimensional structure
- **discretization scheme matters:** time-discretize carefully to retain low-dimensional adaptation

Crucial choices of coefficients: a lower bound

DDPM & DDIM updates take the form

$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \eta_t s_t(Y_t) + \sigma_t \mathcal{N}(0, I_d) \right)$$

Crucial choices of coefficients: a lower bound

DDPM & DDIM updates take the form

$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (Y_t + \eta_t s_t(Y_t) + \sigma_t \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$$

Theorem 4 (Liang, Huang, Chen '24)

Even when starting from $X_t = Y_t$, one can have

$$\mathsf{TV}(X_{t-1}, Y_{t-1}) \gtrsim \sqrt{d} \cdot \left| \frac{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_t}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t} - 1 \right|$$

Crucial choices of coefficients: a lower bound

DDPM & DDIM updates take the form

$$Y_{t-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} (Y_t + \eta_t s_t(Y_t) + \sigma_t \mathcal{N}(0, I_d))$$

Theorem 4 (Liang, Huang, Chen '24)

Even when starting from $X_t = Y_t$, one can have

$$\mathsf{TV}(X_{t-1}, Y_{t-1}) \gtrsim \sqrt{d} \cdot \left| \frac{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t}{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t} \left(1 - \frac{\eta_t}{1 - \overline{\alpha}_t} \right)^2 + \frac{\sigma_t^2}{\alpha_t - \overline{\alpha}_t} - 1 \right|$$

To avoid scaling in d, one needs red term pprox 0

both DDIM and DDPM satisfy this!

Part 3: acceleration via higher-order approximation



CUHK



Yuchen Zhou* UIUC



Yu Huang^{*} UPenn



Timofey Efimov

CMU



UPenn



Yuejie Chi Yale

DDPM and DDIM are still slow



- Song, Meng, Ermon '20

DDPM and DDIM are still slow



50K 32×32 images: DDPM (20h) vs. single-step GANs (< 1min)

- Song, Meng, Ermon '20



• training-based: distill pre-trained diffusion model into another

requires additional training

model that can be executed rapidly

 $\circ~$ e.g., progressive distillation, consistency model



• **training-free:** directly invoke pre-trained score estimates for sampling w/o additional training

 $\circ\,$ e.g., DPM-Solver/++ (Lu et al. '22), UniPC (Zhao et al. '23), \ldots

Can we design a training-free sampler that is provably faster than DDIM/DDPM?

A starting point: equiv solution to probability flow ODE

$$\underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_t} + \underbrace{\int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}}) \mathrm{d}\gamma}_{\mathsf{ode}}$$

where $s^{\star}_{\gamma}(x)\coloneqq \nabla \log p_{\sqrt{\gamma}X_0+\sqrt{1-\gamma}\mathcal{N}(0,I_d)}(x)$

A starting point: equiv solution to probability flow ODE

$$\underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_t} + \underbrace{\int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} s_{\gamma}^{\star}(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}}) \mathrm{d}\gamma}_{\mathsf{infinitely many score evaluations}}$$

where $s^{\star}_{\gamma}(x)\coloneqq \nabla \log p_{\sqrt{\gamma}X_0+\sqrt{1-\gamma}\mathcal{N}(0,I_d)}(x)$

A starting point: equiv solution to probability flow ODE

$$\underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \underbrace{Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}}}_{\mathsf{represent } Y_t} + \underbrace{\int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} s_{\gamma}^{\star}(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}}) \mathrm{d}\gamma}_{\mathsf{infinitely many score evaluations}}$$

where
$$s^{\star}_{\gamma}(x) \coloneqq \nabla \log p_{\sqrt{\gamma}X_0 + \sqrt{1 - \gamma}\mathcal{N}(0, I_d)}(x)$$

• can we approximate the integral by a few score evals?

$$Y^{\mathsf{ode}}_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y^{\mathsf{ode}}_{\overline{\alpha}_t} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s^\star_{\gamma} \big(Y^{\mathsf{ode}}_{\gamma} \big)}_{\mathsf{approximate by?}} \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}})}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}})}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\implies Y_{t-1} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(Y_t + \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{2} s_t(Y_t) \right) \quad \text{original DDIM}$$

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} \left(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \right)}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{d}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}})}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{c}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

refined approximation?

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} \left(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \right)}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{c}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

refined approximation?

$$s_{\gamma}^{\star} \big(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \big) \approx s_{t}(Y_{t}) + \frac{\gamma - \overline{\alpha}_{t}}{\overline{\alpha}_{t} - \overline{\alpha}_{t+1}} \Big(s_{t}(Y_{t}) - s_{t+1}(Y_{t+1}) \Big)$$

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} \left(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \right)}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{\epsilon}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

2nd order approx: (Li, Huang, Efimov, Wei, Chi, Chen'24)

$$\sqrt{\alpha_t} Y_{t-1} \approx Y_t + \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{2} s_t(Y_t) + \frac{(1 - \alpha_t)^2}{4(1 - \alpha_{t+1})} \left(s_t(Y_t) - \sqrt{\alpha_{t+1}} s_{t+1}(Y_{t+1}) \right)$$

— similar in spirit to DPM-Solver-2 (Lu et al '22)

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}})}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{c}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)



$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} (Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}})}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$\frac{d}{d}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

even higher-order approximation?

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} \left(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \right)}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$rac{d}{arepsilon}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

even higher-order approximation? for order K:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^{3/2}} s^{\star}_{\gamma}(Y^{\mathsf{ode}}_{\gamma}) \approx \sum\nolimits_{0 \leq i < K} \psi_i(\gamma) \frac{s_{\gamma_{t,i}}(Y^{\mathsf{ode}}_{\gamma_{t,i}})}{(\gamma_{t,i})^{3/2}}$$

• K anchor points: $\gamma_{t,0}, \ldots, \gamma_{t,K-1}$

$$Y_{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}}^{\mathsf{ode}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} Y_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\mathsf{ode}} + \int_{\overline{\alpha}_t}^{\overline{\alpha}_{t-1}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma^3}} \underbrace{s_{\gamma}^{\star} \left(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}} \right)}_{\mathsf{approximate by}?} \mathrm{d}\gamma$$

$$rac{d}{arepsilon}$$
 iterations; 1 score eval per iteration (DDIM)

even higher-order approximation? for order K:

$$\frac{1}{\gamma^{3/2}} s_{\gamma}^{\star}(Y_{\gamma}^{\mathsf{ode}}) \approx \sum\nolimits_{0 \leq i < K} \psi_i(\gamma) \frac{s_{\gamma_{t,i}}(Y_{\gamma_{t,i}}^{\mathsf{ode}})}{(\gamma_{t,i})^{3/2}}$$

- K anchor points: $\gamma_{t,0}, \ldots, \gamma_{t,K-1}$
- Lagrange basis polynomial: $\psi_i(\gamma) \coloneqq \frac{\prod_{i':i' \neq i} (\gamma \gamma_{t,i'})}{\prod_{i':i' \neq i} (\gamma_{t,i} \gamma_{t,i'})}$

Proposed K-th order sampler (Li et al. '25)



Proposed K-th order sampler (Li et al. '25)



• successively, alternately refine $Y^{\text{ode}}_{\gamma_{t,i}}$ and $s_{\gamma_{t,i}}(Y^{\text{ode}}_{\gamma_{t,i}})$

Proposed K-th order sampler (Li et al. '25)



• successively, alternately refine $Y^{\text{ode}}_{\gamma_{t,i}}$ and $s_{\gamma_{t,i}}(Y^{\text{ode}}_{\gamma_{t,i}})$

K score evals per iteration; $ilde{O}(1)$ rounds of refinements

Theorem 5 (Li, Zhou, Wei, Chen'25)

Consider any K = O(1). With perfect scores, our accelerated deterministic sampler yields $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in

 $\widetilde{O} \bigl(d^{1+2/K} / \varepsilon^{1/K} \bigr)$ iterations

Theorem 5 (Li, Zhou, Wei, Chen '25)

Consider any K = O(1). With perfect scores, our accelerated deterministic sampler yields $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in $\widetilde{O}(d^{1+2/K}/\varepsilon^{1/K})$ iterations

• **#** score function evaluations: $\frac{d^{1+o(1)}}{\varepsilon^{1/K}}$

Theorem 5 (Li, Zhou, Wei, Chen '25)

Consider any K = O(1). With perfect scores, our accelerated deterministic sampler yields $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in $\widetilde{O}(d^{1+2/K}/\varepsilon^{1/K})$ iterations

- # score function evaluations: $\frac{d^{1+o(1)}}{\varepsilon^{1/K}}$
- outperforms vanilla DDIM (d/ε)
 - $\circ~$ substantially improved $\varepsilon\text{-dependency}$
 - almost no loss in *d*-dependency;

Theorem 5 (Li, Zhou, Wei, Chen '25)

Consider any K = O(1). With perfect scores, our accelerated deterministic sampler yields $\mathsf{TV}(p_{X_1}, p_{Y_1}) \leq \varepsilon$ in $\widetilde{O}(d^{1+2/K}/\varepsilon^{1/K})$ iterations

- # score function evaluations: $\frac{d^{1+o(1)}}{\varepsilon^{1/K}}$
- outperforms vanilla DDIM (d/ε)
 - \circ substantially improved ε -dependency
 - almost no loss in *d*-dependency;
- minimal assumptions on data distributions
 - see also Huang et al. '24, '25 (Runge-Kutta; stronger assumptions)

- nonasymptotic convergence theory for diffusion models
- adaptation to unknown low dimensionality
- provable training-free acceleration

- nonasymptotic convergence theory for diffusion models
- adaptation to unknown low dimensionality
- provable training-free acceleration

Future directions:

- $\bullet\,$ end-to-end theory that accounts for score learning $+\,$ sampling?
- adaptive improvement under stylized statistical models
- design of high-order stochastic samplers
- parallelization
- discrete-valued/structured problems

"A sharp convergence theory for the probability flow ODEs of diffusion models," G. Li, Y. Wei, Y. Chi, Y. Chen, arXiv:2408.02320, 2024

"Towards non-asymptotic convergence for diffusion-based generative models," G. Li, Y. Wei, Y. Chen, Y. Chi, arXiv:2306.09251, ICLR 2024

"Low-dimensional adaptation of diffusion models: convergence in total variation," J. Liang, Z. Huang, Y. Chen, arXiv:2501.12982, COLT 2025

"Denoising diffusion probabilistic models are optimally adaptive to unknown low dimensionality," Z. Huang, Y. Wei, Y. Chen, arXiv:2410.18784, 2024

"Accelerating convergence of score-based diffusion models, provably," G. Li*, Y. Huang*, T. Efimov, Y. Wei, Y. Chi, Y. Chen, arXiv:2403.03852, ICML 2024 "Stochastic Runge-Kutta methods: Provable acceleration of diffusion models," Y. Wu, Y. Chen, Y. Wei, arXiv:2410.04760, 2024

"Faster diffusion models via higher-order approximation," G. Li*, Y. Zhou*, Y. Wei, Y. Chen, arXiv:2506.24042, 2025

(*=equal contributions)