Large-Scale Optimization for Data Science

#### Accelerated gradient methods



#### Yuxin Chen

#### Wharton Statistics & Data Science, Fall 2023

# Outline

- Heavy-ball methods
- Nesterov's accelerated gradient methods
- Accelerated proximal gradient methods (FISTA)
- Convergence analysis
- Lower bounds

Iteration complexities of (proximal) gradient methods

• strongly convex and smooth problems

$$O\left(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

• convex and smooth problems

$$O\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

Can one still hope to further accelerate convergence?

#### Issues:

- GD focuses on improving the cost per iteration, which might sometimes be too "short-sighted"
- GD might sometimes zigzag or experience abrupt changes

#### Solutions:

- exploit information from the history (i.e. past iterates)
- add buffers (like momentum) to yield smoother trajectory

#### Heavy-ball methods

— Polyak '64

#### Heavy-ball method



minimize
$$_{{m x} \in {\mathbb R}^n} \quad f({m x})$$

$$\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} = \boldsymbol{x}^t - \eta_t \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^t) + \underbrace{\theta_t(\boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1})}_{\text{momentum term}}$$

B. Polyak

• add inertia to the "ball" (i.e. include a momentum term) to mitigate zigzagging

#### Heavy-ball method



$$\label{eq:minimize} \begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{minimize}_{\bm{x}} & \frac{1}{2}(\bm{x}-\bm{x}^*)^\top \bm{Q}(\bm{x}-\bm{x}^*) \end{array}$$
 where  $\bm{Q}\succ \bm{0}$  has a condition number  $\kappa$ 

One can understand heavy-ball methods through dynamical systems

Consider the following dynamical system

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \end{array}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{c} (1+\theta_t)\boldsymbol{I} & -\theta_t\boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}\right] \left[\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{x}^{t} \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} \end{array}\right] - \left[\begin{array}{c} \eta_t \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^t) \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{array}\right]$$

or equivalently,

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \\ \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^* \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{state}} = \begin{bmatrix} (1+\theta_t)\boldsymbol{I} & -\theta_t\boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^* \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \eta_t \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^t) \\ \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} (1+\theta_t)\boldsymbol{I} - \eta_t\boldsymbol{Q} & -\theta_t\boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix}}_{\boldsymbol{I}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^* \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \boldsymbol{x}^* \end{bmatrix}$$

system matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} (1+\theta_{t})\boldsymbol{I} - \eta_{t}\boldsymbol{Q} & -\theta_{t}\boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix}}_{=:\boldsymbol{H}_{t} \text{ (system matrix)}} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \\ \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7.1)

**implication:** convergence of heavy-ball methods depends on the spectrum of the system matrix  $H_t$ 

key idea: find appropriate stepsizes  $\eta_t$  and momentum coefficients  $\theta_t$  to control the spectrum of  $H_t$ 

# Theorem 7.1 (Convergence of heavy-ball methods for quadratic functions)

Suppose f is an L-smooth and  $\mu$ -strongly convex quadratic function. Set  $\eta_t \equiv 4/(\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu})^2$ ,  $\theta_t \equiv \max\{|1 - \sqrt{\eta_t L}|, |1 - \sqrt{\eta_t \mu}|\}^2$ , and  $\kappa = L/\mu$ . Then

$$\left\| \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - oldsymbol{x}^{*} \ oldsymbol{x}^{t} - oldsymbol{x}^{*} \end{array} 
ight
ight
ight
ceil_{2} \lesssim \left( rac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} 
ight)^{t} \left\| \left[ egin{array}{c} oldsymbol{x}^{1} - oldsymbol{x}^{*} \ oldsymbol{x}^{0} - oldsymbol{x}^{*} \end{array} 
ight
ceil_{2} 
ight
ceil_{2}$$

- iteration complexity:  $O(\sqrt{\kappa} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$
- significant improvement over GD:  $O(\sqrt{\kappa} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$  vs.  $O(\kappa \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$
- relies on knowledge of both L and  $\mu$

In view of (7.1), it suffices to control the spectrum of  $H_t$  (which is time-invariant). Let  $\lambda_i$  be the *i*th eigenvalue of Q and set

$$\mathbf{\Lambda}:=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}\lambda_1&&\\&\ddots\\&&\lambda_n\end{array}\right], \text{ then the spectral radius (denoted by }\rho(\cdot)) \text{ of } \boldsymbol{H}_t$$
obeys

$$\rho(\boldsymbol{H}_t) = \rho\left( \begin{bmatrix} (1+\theta_t)\boldsymbol{I} - \eta_t \boldsymbol{\Lambda} & -\theta_t \boldsymbol{I} \\ \boldsymbol{I} & \boldsymbol{0} \end{bmatrix} \right)$$
$$\leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \rho\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1+\theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i & -\theta_t \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to show

$$\max_{i} \rho\left( \begin{bmatrix} 1+\theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i & -\theta_t \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \le \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}$$
(7.2)

To show (7.2), note that the two eigenvalues of  $\begin{bmatrix} 1+\theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i & -\theta_t \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  are the roots of

$$z^2 - (1 + \theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i)z + \theta_t = 0$$
(7.3)

If  $(1 + \theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i)^2 \leq 4\theta_t$ , then the roots of this equation have the same magnitudes  $\sqrt{\theta_t}$  (as either they are conjugates of each other or there is only one root).

In addition, one can easily check that  $(1 + \theta_t - \eta_t \lambda_i)^2 \le 4\theta_t$  is satisfied if

$$\theta_t \in \left[ \left( 1 - \sqrt{\eta_t \lambda_i} \right)^2, \left( 1 + \sqrt{\eta_t \lambda_i} \right)^2 \right],$$
(7.4)

which would hold if one picks  $\theta_t = \max\left\{\left(1 - \sqrt{\eta_t L}\right)^2, \left(1 - \sqrt{\eta_t \mu}\right)^2\right\}$ 

With this choice of  $\theta_t$ , we have (from (7.3) and the fact that two eigenvalues have identical magnitudes)

$$\rho\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{t}\right) \leq \sqrt{\theta_{t}}$$

Finally, setting  $\eta_t = \frac{4}{(\sqrt{L}+\sqrt{\mu})^2}$  ensures  $1-\sqrt{\eta_t L} = -(1-\sqrt{\eta_t \mu})$ , which yields

$$\theta_t = \max\left\{ \left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{L}}{\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu}}\right)^2, \left(1 - \frac{2\sqrt{\mu}}{\sqrt{L} + \sqrt{\mu}}\right)^2 \right\} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1}\right)^2$$

This in turn establishes

$$\rho\left(\boldsymbol{H}_{t}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}$$

#### Nesterov's accelerated gradient methods

minimize
$$_{oldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad f(oldsymbol{x})$$

For a positive definite quadratic function f, including momentum terms allows to improve the iteration complexity from  $O\big(\kappa\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big)$  to  $O\big(\sqrt{\kappa}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\big)$ 

Can we obtain improvement for more general convex cases as well?

#### Nesterov's idea



- Nesterov '83

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} &= oldsymbol{y}^t - \eta_t 
abla f(oldsymbol{y}^t) \ oldsymbol{y}^{t+1} &= oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} + rac{t}{t+3} (oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - oldsymbol{x}^t) \end{aligned}$$

Y. Nesterov

- alternates between gradient updates and proper extrapolation
- each iteration takes nearly the same cost as GD
- not a descent method (i.e. we may not have  $f(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) \leq f(\boldsymbol{x}^{t})$ )
- one of the most *beautiful* and *mysterious* results in optimization

Accelerated GD

# Convergence of Nesterov's accelerated gradient method

Suppose f is convex and L-smooth. If  $\eta_t\equiv\eta=1/L,$  then

$$f(x^t) - f^{\mathsf{opt}} \le \frac{2L \|x^0 - x^*\|_2^2}{(t+1)^2}$$

- iteration complexity:  $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}})$
- much faster than gradient methods
- we'll provide proof for the (more general) proximal version later

#### Interpretation using differential equations

Nesterov's momentum coefficient  $\frac{t}{t+3} = 1 - \frac{3}{t}$  is particularly mysterious

#### Interpretation using differential equations



To develop insight into why Nesterov's method works so well, it's helpful to look at its continuous limits  $(\eta_t \rightarrow 0)$ , which is given by second-order ordinary differential equations (ODE)

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) + \underbrace{3/\tau}_{\text{dampling coefficient}} \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) + \nabla \underbrace{f(\boldsymbol{X}(\tau))}_{\text{potential}} = 0$$

— Su, Boyd, Candes '14

To begin with, Nesterov's update rule is equivalent to

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^t}{\sqrt{\eta}} = \frac{t-1}{t+2} \frac{\boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}}{\sqrt{\eta}} - \sqrt{\eta} \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}^t)$$
(7.5)

Let  $t = \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\eta}}$ . Set  $X(\tau) \approx x^{\tau/\sqrt{\eta}} = x^t$  and  $X(\tau + \sqrt{\eta}) \approx x^{t+1}$ . Then the Taylor expansion gives

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t}}{\sqrt{\eta}} \approx \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) + \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) \sqrt{\eta}$$
$$\frac{\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{t-1}}{\sqrt{\eta}} \approx \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) \sqrt{\eta}$$

which combined with (7.5) yields

$$\begin{split} \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) &+ \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) \sqrt{\eta} \approx \left( 1 - \frac{3\sqrt{\eta}}{\tau} \right) \left( \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) - \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) \sqrt{\eta} \right) - \sqrt{\eta} \nabla f \left( \boldsymbol{X}(\tau) \right) \\ \implies \quad \ddot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) + \frac{3}{\tau} \dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(\tau) + \nabla f \left( \boldsymbol{X}(\tau) \right) \approx \mathbf{0} \end{split}$$

Accelerated GD

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}} + \frac{3}{\tau}\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} + \nabla f(\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(7.6)

Standard ODE theory reveals that

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}(\tau)) - f^{\mathsf{opt}} \le O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right) \tag{7.7}$$

which somehow explains Nesterov's  $O(1/t^2)$  convergence

# **Proof of** (7.7)

Define 
$$\underbrace{\mathcal{E}(\tau) := \tau^2 \left( f(\mathbf{X}) - f^{\text{opt}} \right) + 2 \| \mathbf{X} + \frac{\tau}{2} \dot{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^* \|_2^2}_{\text{Lyapunov function / energy function}}$$
. This obeys  
 $\dot{\mathcal{E}} = 2\tau \left( f(\mathbf{X}) - f^{\text{opt}} \right) + \tau^2 \langle \nabla f(\mathbf{X}), \dot{\mathbf{X}} \rangle + 4 \left\langle \mathbf{X} + \frac{\tau}{2} \dot{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*, \frac{3}{2} \dot{\mathbf{X}} + \frac{\tau}{2} \ddot{\mathbf{X}} \right\rangle$   
 $\stackrel{(i)}{=} 2\tau \left( f(\mathbf{X}) - f^{\text{opt}} \right) - 2\tau \langle \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^*, \nabla f(\mathbf{X}) \rangle \stackrel{(\text{by convexity})}{\leq} 0$ 

where (i) follows by replacing  $au \ddot{X} + 3\dot{X}$  with - au 
abla f(X)

This means  ${\cal E}$  is non-decreasing in  $\tau,$  and hence

$$f(\boldsymbol{X}(\tau)) - f^{\mathsf{opt}} \stackrel{(\mathsf{defn of } \mathcal{E})}{\leq} \frac{\mathcal{E}(\tau)}{\tau^2} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}(0)}{\tau^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\tau^2}\right)$$

$$\ddot{\boldsymbol{X}} + rac{3}{ au}\dot{\boldsymbol{X}} + 
abla f(\boldsymbol{X}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$

- 3 is the smallest constant that guarantees  $O(1/\tau^2)$  convergence, and can be replaced by any other  $\alpha \geq 3$
- in some sense, 3 minimizes the pre-constant in the convergence bound  $O(1/\tau^2)$  (see Su, Boyd, Candes '14)

taken from UCLA EE236C

$$\mathsf{minimize}_{\boldsymbol{x}} \quad \log\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \exp(\boldsymbol{a}_i^\top \boldsymbol{x} + b_i)\right)$$

with randomly generated problems and m = 2000, n = 1000



$$\begin{array}{ll} \mbox{minimize}_{\boldsymbol{x}} & F(\boldsymbol{x}) := f(\boldsymbol{x}) + h(\boldsymbol{x}) \\ \mbox{subject to} & \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}$$

- f: convex and smooth
- *h*: convex (may not be differentiable)

let  $F^{\mathsf{opt}} := \min_{\boldsymbol{x}} F(\boldsymbol{x})$  be the optimal cost

#### Fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm

$$egin{aligned} & oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} = extsf{prox}_{\eta_t h} egin{aligned} & oldsymbol{y}^t - \eta_t 
abla f(oldsymbol{y}^t) ig) \ & oldsymbol{y}^{t+1} = oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} + rac{ heta_t - 1}{ heta_{t+1}} (oldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - oldsymbol{x}^t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $oldsymbol{y}^0=oldsymbol{x}^0$ ,  $heta_0=1$  and  $heta_{t+1}=rac{1+\sqrt{1+4 heta_t^2}}{2}$ 

• adopt the momentum coefficients originally proposed by Nesterov '83

#### Momentum coefficient



coefficient  $\frac{\theta_t - 1}{\theta_{t+1}} = 1 - \frac{3}{t} + o(\frac{1}{t})$  (homework)

• asymptotically equivalent to  $\frac{t}{t+3}$ 

#### Momentum coefficient



**Convergence** analysis

Theorem 7.3 (Convergence of accelerated proximal gradient methods for convex problems)

Suppose f is convex and L-smooth. If  $\eta_t \equiv 1/L$ , then

$$F(x^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \le \frac{2L \|x^0 - x^*\|_2^2}{(t+1)^2}$$

- improved iteration complexity (i.e.  $O(1/\sqrt{\varepsilon})$ ) than proximal gradient method (i.e.  $O(1/\varepsilon)$ )
- fast if prox can be efficiently implemented

# Recap: the fundamental inequality for proximal method

Recall the following fundamental inequality shown in the last lecture:

#### Lemma 7.4

Let 
$$y^+ = ext{prox}_{\frac{1}{L}h} (y - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(y))$$
, then  
 $F(y^+) - F(x) \leq \frac{L}{2} \|x - y\|_2^2 - \frac{L}{2} \|x - y^+\|_2^2$ 

1. build a discrete-time version of "Lyapunov function"

#### 2. magic happens!

 "Lyapunov function" is non-increasing when Nesterov's momentum coefficients are adopted Key lemma: monotonicity of a certain "Lyapunov function"

Lemma 7.5  
Let 
$$\boldsymbol{u}^{t} = \underbrace{\theta_{t-1} \boldsymbol{x}^{t} - (\boldsymbol{x}^{*} + (\theta_{t-1} - 1)\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1})}_{\text{or } \theta_{t-1}(\boldsymbol{x}^{t} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}) - (\theta_{t-1} - 1)(\boldsymbol{x}^{t-1} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*})}$$
. Then  
 $\|\boldsymbol{u}^{t+1}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{2}{L} \theta_{t}^{2} (F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) - F^{\text{opt}}) \leq \|\boldsymbol{u}^{t}\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{2}{L} \theta_{t-1}^{2} (F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t}) - F^{\text{opt}})$ 

• quite similar to  $2\|\mathbf{X} + \frac{\tau}{2}\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}^*\|_2^2 + \tau^2(f(\mathbf{X}) - f^{opt})$ (Lyapunov function) as discussed before (think about  $\theta_t \approx t/2$ ) With Lemma 7.5 in place, one has

$$\begin{split} \frac{2}{L} \theta_{t-1}^2 \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) &\leq \| \boldsymbol{u}^1 \|_2^2 + \frac{2}{L} \theta_0^2 \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^1) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) \\ &= \| \boldsymbol{x}^1 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 + \frac{2}{L} \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^1) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) \end{split}$$

To bound the RHS of this inequality, we use Lemma 7.4 and  $oldsymbol{y}^0 = oldsymbol{x}^0$  to get

$$\frac{2}{L} \left( F(\boldsymbol{x}^1) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \right) \le \| \boldsymbol{y}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 - \| \boldsymbol{x}^1 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 = \| \boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2 - \| \boldsymbol{x}^1 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2$$

$$\iff \qquad \|\boldsymbol{x}^1 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 + \frac{2}{L} \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^1) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) \le \|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2$$

As a result,

$$\begin{split} \frac{2}{L}\theta_{t-1}^2 \big(F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}}\big) &\leq \|\boldsymbol{x}^1 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2 + \frac{2}{L} \big(F(\boldsymbol{x}^1) - F^{\mathsf{opt}}\big) \leq \|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2,\\ \implies \quad F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \leq \frac{L\|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{2\theta_{t-1}^2} \stackrel{(\mathsf{Fact 7.2})}{\leq} \frac{2L\|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{(t+1)^2} \end{split}$$

Accelerated GD

Take 
$$\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{1}{\theta_t} \boldsymbol{x}^* + (1 - \frac{1}{\theta_t}) \boldsymbol{x}^t$$
 and  $\boldsymbol{y} = \boldsymbol{y}^t$  in Lemma 7.4 to get  
 $F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) - F\left(\theta_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}^* + (1 - \theta_t^{-1}) \boldsymbol{x}^t\right)$  (7.8)  
 $\leq \frac{L}{2} \|\theta_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}^* + (1 - \theta_t^{-1}) \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{y}^t\|_2^2 - \frac{L}{2} \|\theta_t^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}^* + (1 - \theta_t^{-1}) \boldsymbol{x}^t - \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}\|_2^2$ 

$$= \frac{L}{2\theta_t^2} \| \boldsymbol{x}^* + (\theta_t - 1) \boldsymbol{x}^t - \theta_t \boldsymbol{y}^t \|_2^2 - \frac{L}{2\theta_t^2} \| \underbrace{\boldsymbol{x}^* + (\theta_t - 1) \boldsymbol{x}^t - \theta_t \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}}_{= -\boldsymbol{u}^{t+1}} \|_2^2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(i)}}{=} \frac{L}{2\theta_t^2} (\| \boldsymbol{u}^t \|_2^2 - \| \boldsymbol{u}^{t+1} \|_2^2), \tag{7.9}$$

where (i) follows from the definition of  $u^t$  and  $y^t = x^t + rac{ heta_{t-1}-1}{ heta_t}(x^t - x^{t-1})$ 

We will also lower bound (7.8). By convexity of F,

$$\begin{split} F\Big(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}^* + \big(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\big)\boldsymbol{x}^t\Big) &\leq \boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}F(\boldsymbol{x}^*) + \big(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\big)F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) \\ &= \boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}F^{\mathsf{opt}} + \big(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\big)F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) \\ \Longleftrightarrow \quad F\Big(\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\boldsymbol{x}^* + \big(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\big)\boldsymbol{x}^t\Big) - F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) \\ &\leq \big(1-\boldsymbol{\theta}_t^{-1}\big)\big(F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}}\big) - \big(F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) - F^{\mathsf{opt}}\big) \end{split}$$

Combining this with (7.9) and  $\theta_t^2 - \theta_t = \theta_{t-1}^2$  yields

$$\begin{split} \frac{L}{2} \big( \| \boldsymbol{u}^t \|_2^2 - \| \boldsymbol{u}^{t+1} \|_2^2 \big) &\geq \theta_t^2 \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) - \big( \theta_t^2 - \theta_t \big) \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) \\ &= \theta_t^2 \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1}) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big) - \theta_{t-1}^2 \big( F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \big), \end{split}$$

thus finishing the proof

Accelerated GD

#### Convergence for strongly convex problems

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} &= \mathrm{prox}_{\eta_t h} \big( \boldsymbol{y}^t - \eta_t \nabla f(\boldsymbol{y}^t) \big) \\ \boldsymbol{y}^{t+1} &= \boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} + \frac{\sqrt{\kappa} - 1}{\sqrt{\kappa} + 1} (\boldsymbol{x}^{t+1} - \boldsymbol{x}^t) \end{split}$$

Theorem 7.6 (Convergence of accelerated proximal gradient methods for strongly convex case)

Suppose f is  $\mu$ -strongly convex and L-smooth. If  $\eta_t \equiv 1/L$ , then

$$F(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right)^t \left(F(\boldsymbol{x}^0) - F^{\mathsf{opt}} + \frac{\mu \|\boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^*\|_2^2}{2}\right)$$

Fast convergence requires knowledge of  $\kappa = L/\mu$ 

- in practice, estimating  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is typically very challenging

A common observation: ripples  $/ \mbox{ bumps in the traces of cost values}$ 

#### **Rippling behavior**

Numerical example: take  $m{y}^{t+1} = m{x}^{t+1} + rac{1-\sqrt{q}}{1+\sqrt{q}}(m{x}^{t+1}-m{x}^t); \ q^* = 1/\kappa$ 



- when  $q > q^*$ : we underestimate momentum  $\longrightarrow$  slower convergence
- when  $q < q^*$ : we overestimate momentum  $\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{q}}{1+\sqrt{q}} \text{ is large}\right)$ 
  - $\longrightarrow \ {\rm overshooting} \, / \, {\rm rippling} \ {\rm behavior}$

Accelerated GD

When a certain criterion is met, restart running FISTA with

$$egin{array}{rcl} oldsymbol{x}^0 \ \leftarrow \ oldsymbol{x}^t \ oldsymbol{y}^0 \ \leftarrow \ oldsymbol{x}^t \ oldsymbol{ heta}_0 \ = \ 1 \end{array}$$

- take the current iterate as a new starting point
- erase all memory of previous iterates and reset the momentum back to zero

#### Numerical comparisons of adaptive restart schemes



- function scheme: restart when  $f(\pmb{x}^t) > f(\pmb{x}^{t-1})$
- gradient scheme: restart when  $\langle 
  abla f({m y}^{t-1}), {m x}^t {m x}^{t-1} 
  angle > 0$

restart when momentum lead us towards a bad direction

#### Illustration



- with overestimated momentum (e.g. q = 0), one sees spiralling trajectory
- adaptive restart helps mitigate this issue

Accelerated GD

#### Lower bounds

Interestingly, no first-order methods can improve upon Nesterov's results in general

More precisely,  $\exists$  convex and *L*-smooth function *f* s.t.

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^t) - f^{\mathsf{opt}} \geq \frac{3L \| \boldsymbol{x}^0 - \boldsymbol{x}^* \|_2^2}{32(t+1)^2}$$

as long as  $\underbrace{\boldsymbol{x}^k \in \boldsymbol{x}^0 + \operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^0), \cdots, \nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k-1})\}}_{\text{definition of first-order methods}}$  for all  $1 \le k \le t$ 

— Nemirovski, Yudin '83

# Example

$$\begin{split} \text{minimize}_{\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n+1)}} \quad f(\pmb{x}) &= \frac{L}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pmb{x}^\top \pmb{A} \pmb{x} - \pmb{e}_1^\top \pmb{x} \right) \\ \text{where } \pmb{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n+1) \times (2n+1)} \end{split}$$

- *f* is convex and *L*-smooth
- the optimizer  $m{x}^*$  is given by  $x_i^* = 1 rac{i}{2n+2} \; (1 \leq i \leq n)$  obeying

$$f^{\mathsf{opt}} = rac{L}{8} \left( rac{1}{2n+2} - 1 
ight) \quad \text{and} \quad \| m{x}^* \|_2^2 \leq rac{2n+2}{3}$$

# Example

$$\begin{split} \text{minimize}_{\pmb{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n+1)}} \quad f(\pmb{x}) &= \frac{L}{4} \left( \frac{1}{2} \pmb{x}^\top \pmb{A} \pmb{x} - \pmb{e}_1^\top \pmb{x} \right) \\ \text{where } \pmb{A} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & & \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ & & & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(2n+1) \times (2n+1)} \end{split}$$

• 
$$\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{4}\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} - \frac{L}{4}\boldsymbol{e}_1$$

•  $\underbrace{\operatorname{span}\{\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^0),\cdots,\nabla f(\boldsymbol{x}^{k-1})\}}_{=:\mathcal{K}_k} = \operatorname{span}\{\boldsymbol{e}_1,\cdots,\boldsymbol{e}_k\}$  if  $\boldsymbol{x}^0 = \boldsymbol{0}$ 

 $\circ\,$  every iteration of first-order methods expands the search space by  $at\ most$  one dimension

If we start with  $oldsymbol{x}^0=oldsymbol{0}$ , then

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}^{n}) \ge \inf_{\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{K}_{n}} f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \frac{L}{8} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - 1 \right)$$
$$\implies \qquad \frac{f(\boldsymbol{x}^{n}) - f^{\mathsf{opt}}}{\|\boldsymbol{x}^{0} - \boldsymbol{x}^{*}\|_{2}^{2}} \ge \frac{\frac{L}{8} \left( \frac{1}{n+1} - \frac{1}{2n+2} \right)}{\frac{1}{3}(2n+2)} = \frac{3L}{32(n+1)^{2}}$$

## Summary: accelerated proximal gradient

	stepsize	convergence	iteration
	rule	rate	complexity
convex & smooth problems	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\right)$	$O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)$
strongly convex & smooth problems	$\eta_t = \frac{1}{L}$	$O\left(\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\right)^t\right)$	$O\left(\sqrt{\kappa}\log\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)$

# Reference

- "Some methods of speeding up the convergence of iteration methods,"
   B. Polyak, USSR Computational Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 1964
- "A method of solving a convex programming problem with convergence rate  $O(1/k^2)$ ," Y. Nestrove, Soviet Mathematics Doklady, 1983.
- "A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems," A. Beck and M. Teboulle, SIAM journal on imaging sciences, 2009.
- "First-order methods in optimization," A. Beck, Vol. 25, SIAM, 2017.
- "*Mathematical optimization, MATH301 lecture notes*," E. Candes, Stanford.
- "Gradient methods for minimizing composite functions,", Y. Nesterov, Technical Report, 2007.

- "Large-scale numerical optimization, MS&E318 lecture notes," M. Saunders, Stanford.
- "Analysis and design of optimization algorithms via integral quadratic constraints," L. Lessard, B. Recht, A. Packard, SIAM Journal on Optimization, 2016.
- "Proximal algorithms," N. Parikh and S. Boyd, Foundations and Trends in Optimization, 2013.
- "*Convex optimization: algorithms and complexity*," S. Bubeck, Foundations and trends in machine learning, 2015.
- "Optimization methods for large-scale systems, EE236C lecture notes," L. Vandenberghe, UCLA.
- "Problem complexity and method efficiency in optimization," A. Nemirovski, D. Yudin, Wiley, 1983.

# Reference

- "Introductory lectures on convex optimization: a basic course," Y. Nesterov, 2004
- "Acceleration methods," A. d'Aspremont, D. Scieur, and A. Taylor, Foundations and Trends in Optimization, 2021.
- "A differential equation for modeling Nesterov's accelerated gradient method," W. Su, S. Boyd, E. Candes, NIPS, 2014.
- "On accelerated proximal gradient methods for convex-concave optimization," P. Tseng, 2008
- "Adaptive restart for accelerated gradient schemes," B. O'donoghue, and E. Candes, Foundations of computational mathematics, 2012